



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/496,769	02/03/2000	Tomotaka Yamazaki	SONYJP3.0-098	6673	
530 7.	590 03/29/2004		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			BROWN, RUEBEN M		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2611	8	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/496,769	YAMAZAKI, ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Reuben M. Brown	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on 09 Ja	nuary 2 <u>004</u> .					
, _ -	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-13,16-23,26-33 and 36-40</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-13,16-23,26-33 and 36-40</u> is/are 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10-13, 16-17, 20-23, 26-27, 30-33 & 36-37 & 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brandenburg, (U.S. Pat # 5,894,516).

Considering claim 1, the instant claim recites a method of transmitting data from a transmission apparatus to one of a plurality of receiving terminals, comprising transmitting

Art Unit: 2611

unique terminal information as a destination of transmission and an update program to change the processing of the receiving terminal, such that the unique terminal information is converted into unique terminal information comprising a key ID and the converted unique terminal information is transmitted to one of the receiving terminals. Brandenburg teaches that when a customer (i.e., target computer 18) orders software over a network, a software distribution center transmits encryption key, using the ID code of the target computer 18; see Abstract; col. 3, lines 35-40, which meets the claimed subject matter.

The additionally claimed feature of updating the processing of one of the receiving terminals by including receiving at the receiving terminal the unique terminal information and the update program, reads on col. 3, lines 38-64; col. 4, lines 20-41 & Fig. 2. The cited portions of Brandenburg teach that the encryption key is used to decrypt information by the target computer 18.

Examiner notes that Fig. 2 of the instant reference shows a flow chart listing blocks numbered from 60-86. However, the blocks in Fig 2 correspond directly with the block numbers 30-56 discussed in col. 3 & col. 4. For instance, block 60 of Fig 2 corresponds with block 30 in col. 3, and likewise block 70 of Fig. 2 corresponds with block 40 in col. 3.

As for the amended claimed feature of returning the converted unique terminal information comprising a key ID to the unique terminal information, the claimed subject matter reads on the operation of Brandenburg that the target computer 18 only looks at the encrypted

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769

Art Unit: 2611

data that is transmitted with the same ID code as its own. Thus the target computer has to extract its unique terminal information ID from the data that is transmitted in order to retrieve only the data that is addressed to itself.

The claimed step of storing the converted unique terminal information comprising a key ID to the unique terminal in a storage location after the returning step reads on the disclosure that the target computer 18 stored the key ID for decrypting the software to be downloaded, col. 3, lines 55-58.

Considering claims 2, 12, 22 & 32, the claimed subject matter is broad enough to read on the disclosure in Brandenburg of the target computer 18 requesting new versions of software applications that have already been downloaded, col. 4, lines 8-14.

Considering claims 3, 13, 23 & 33, the claimed authenticating step reads on ID code of the target computer being checked by the licensing program; col. 2, lines 44-65 & col. 3, lines 17-34.

Considering claims 6, 16, 26 & 36, Brandenburg uses a satellite transmission system; see Fig. 1; col. 2, line 1 & col. 2, lines 35-40.

Considering claims 7, 17, 27 & 37, Brandenburg teaches that the data may be transmitted using TCP/IP technology or an e-mail; see col. 3, lines 51-52 col. 4, lines 1-4.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

Considering claims 10, 20, 30 & 40, the claimed subject matter reads on col. 2, lines 55-64.

Considering claims 11, 21 & 31, the claimed system, receiving system and method of receiving data comprises elements that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1, and is likewise treated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-9, 18-19, 28-29 & 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandenburg.

Considering claims 8, 18, 28 & 38, Brandenburg only discloses satellite transmission.

Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, data transmission using terrestrial networks was known in the art. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Brandenburg to optionally utilize terrestrial

Art Unit: 2611

networks, at least for the desirable advantage of a technology in more common use, since satellite transmission requires the customers to have a satellite dish, which is not as common as TV antennas.

Considering claims 9, 19, 29 & 39, Brandenburg does not state that some of the software downloaded would be displayed on a display device. Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, software applications that cause images to be shown on a display device were known in the art. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to operate Brandenburg in a manner that at least some the software applications downloaded to the target computer 18 would cause images to be displayed on the display screen, since some of the software applications likely to be requested by customers would include such content as video programs, or graphical user interface technology.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A) Frezza Teaches downloading software to a customer by encrypting the information using the terminal ID.
- B) Krishnamoorthy General teaching of a customer requesting software applications to be downloaded to the computer/STB.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769

Art Unit: 2611

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-6861 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-2399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew I. Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Reuben M. Brown

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7